- social dealings with Ms. Jaramillo.
- MR. COLE: I understand, Your Honor. What I was
- about to say when I was interrupted by Ms. Polivy was that
- 4 the term "loan agreement meetings" that appears in this
- transcript has meaning within the context of the transcript
- 6 because defined the term in the transcript as referring to
- 7 the time period that the meeting at which Mr. Rey and Mr.
- 8 Conant reached a loan, reached a loan agreement.
- I don't have a specific date for that because Mr.
- 10 Conant was unable to give me a date for that, other than
- it's sometime between '85 and '89.
- But by asking him whether he had spoken with Ms.
- 13 Leticia Jaramillo at any point since before the loan
- 14 agreement, and he said he probably had not spoken with her,
- I thought that would provide the appropriate time context
- 16 for my purposes.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, there is nothing wrong with
- that question. It's the other question which you are asking
- 19 as to the present time.
- MS. POLIVY: Your Honor.
- MR. COLE: Understood. I am not looking for
- 22 present time.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: No, but your questions, your
- initial questions dealt with that, and that's what the
- 25 objection is.

- MS. POLIVY: Well, Your Honor, may I ask if Mr.
- 2 Cole has a question that he just frame the question. If we
- 3 start going back and forth with deposition definitions in
- 4 this record we are never going to know what it means. I
- 5 think that's confusing for the witness and it's confusing
- 6 for the record.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Go ahead, Mr. Cole.
- 8 MR. COLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 9 BY MR. COLE:
- 10 Q Mr. Conant, am I correct that Mr. Rey was an
- employee of WDZL during the period 1982 to 1984?
- 12 A Yes, I -- yes.
- 13 Q And during that same time period you were an
- 14 investor in the station?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And your role as investor was as a limited partner
- in the licensee entity; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Were you involved in the day-to-day management of
- the station in any way?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Is it also true that you didn't supervise Mr. Ray
- in his position at the station?
- A That is true. I was a limited partner. I
- exercised no executive duties at all.

- 1 Q Besides the fact that Mr. Rey worked for the
- station, the broadcast station in which you were an investor
- for that period of time, approximately a two-year period
- from 1982 to 1984, what other business relationships did you
- 5 have with him?
- 6 A None.
- 7 Q You had never been partners with him in any
- 8 business venture?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q Did you ever lend him any money?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Did he ever lend you any money?
- 13 A No.
- Q And as with Ms. Jaramillo, it's true, isn't it,
- that at no time have you ever known Mr. Rey's net worth?
- 16 A That is correct. I did not know and I do not know
- Joe Rey's net worth.
- 18 Q Did you ever ask Mr. Rey to provide you with any
- documents concerning his financial situation?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Now, turn to page 1 of your declaration, please,
- 22 sir.
- MS. POLIVY: One?
- MR. COLE: Page 1.
- MS. POLIVY: Oh, of his declaration. I'm sorry.

1	BY MR. COLE:
2	Q And in the it's technically the third paragraph
3	but it's the first multi-line paragraph that begins, "I have
4	known Rainbow Broadcasting Company principals."
5	Do you see that paragraph there?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Let me refer you to the next to the last sentence
8	which reads, "I had already become well acquainted with
9	his," referring to Mr. Rey, "abilities during the time that
10	he had worked for Storer Broadcasting Company and made
11	occasional trips to Chicago."
12	Do you see that language?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Did you transact any business with Mr. Rey when he
15	worked for Storer Broadcasting Company?
16	A No.
17	Q Is it accurate to say that your acquaintance with
18	Mr. Rey during that period, that is, when he worked for
19	Storer Broadcasting Company, amounted to a couple of visits
20	with him?
21	A Probably.
22	Q What was the basis then, sir, for your statement
23	in your declaration that you were well acquainted with Mr.

Rey's abilities during the time that he worked for Storer

24

25

Broadcasting?

I had gotten to know Joe and I felt he was a very 1 competent person, and that he would have been equally 2 competent while he was working for Storer Broadcasting. 3 And I also knew him somewhat when he was working for Storer. 5 Well, how did you know he was a competent person? That was my impression. He seemed -- he was 7 articulate. He was bright. He comported himself well. came across as an effective, convincing, knowledgeable 8 9 executive. And that's the sole basis for your statement that 10 you had become well acquainted with his abilities while he 11 12 worked for Storer Broadcasting; is that correct? 13 Well, if it refers -- if you are including in that 14 time period the time that he worked for WDZL, then that 15 would not be the sole basis. 16 There was an additional basis for my confidence in 17 Mr. Rey because of the performance of WDZL. WDZL did very well. And Joe had a -- had the -- one of the two or three 18 key positions there. 19 20 To the best of your knowledge, did Mr. Rey work at 21 WDZL in that key position at the same time that he worked 22 for Storer Broadcasting Company? 23 Α No.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

That's my -- yes.

The Storer Broadcasting Company predated --

24

25

A

-- WDZL: is that correct? 1 0 2 Α Yes. Now, in the last paragraph of your declaration 3 immediately above your signature you state that you had 4 confidence in Mr. Rey and Ms. Jaramillo, and that your 5 confidence was premised upon years of satisfactory work 6 7 together. Do you see that language? 8 9 Α Yes. What satisfactory work were you referring to when 10 you used that language? 11 I -- the performance at WDZL. Α 12 And that was in 1982 through 1984? 13 14 Yes. 15 Or more correctly, some time in 1982 through some time in 1984; is that accurate? 16 17 Α Yes, and the years should have had the apostrophe after the "s" rather than preceding the "s" because it 18 should have been plural. That's a typo that I missed. 19 2.0 Duly noted and logged, Mr. Conant. 0 21 I beg your pardon? Duly noted and logged. 22 0 23 Α Oh, okay. 24 So going back to the last sentence of your

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

declaration that does not refer then to Ms. Jaramillo; is

25

- 1 that correct?
- A Well, I didn't -- no, it include Ms. Jaramillo,
- 3 but in a more limited sense because I did not know her as
- 4 well. I knew her only somewhat socially.
- 5 Q Well, she didn't work at WDZL, did she, Leticia
- 6 Jaramillo?
- 7 A I think she did do some work there, although I
- 8 couldn't -- wouldn't swear to that. I think so. I think
- 9 she did -- she wasn't a key employee. I think she
- 10 participated to some extent.
- 11 Q Go back to page 1 of your declaration, and again
- the third full paragraph, the first long paragraph. I refer
- you to the last sentence in that which reads, "In --
- 14 A Wait, wait, wait.
- What does that paragraph being with?
- 16 Q It's the paragraph that begins, 'I have known
- 17 Rainbow Broadcasting Company for --
- 18 A Okay. All right.
- 19 A The last sentence of that which reads, "In
- 20 addition to my past financial relationship with Rainbow
- 21 principals, I also consider them to be personal friends of
- 22 longstanding."
- Isn't it true, at least from your testimony today,
- that you've had no past financial relationship with either
- 25 Rainbow principal?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 O Prior to 1996, that is, prior to this year, did
- either Mr. Rey or Ms. Jaramillo ever ask you to provide to
- 4 Rainbow Broadcasting Company copies of your financial
- 5 statements?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Now, on page 2 of your declaration in the second
- full paragraph, the paragraph which begins, "The oral
- 9 agreement which I had."
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q You describe the loan agreement which you say you
- 12 reached with Mr. Rey.
- Now, it's true, isn't it, that any agreement you
- may have reached with Rainbow as described i this paragraph
- 15 was contingent on Rainbow successfully resolving various
- litigation proceedings in which Rainbow was involved?
- 17 A Yes, I only was willing to finance the station
- when they had complete authorization to proceed to go ahead
- 19 with the station. I didn't want to get involved in any of
- 20 the lawsuits.
- Q When you refer to "any of the lawsuits," what are
- 22 you referring to?
- 23 A Well, there was a dispute as to -- I know there
- 24 was a case that came to the Supreme Court, and there was a
- dispute with a tower that they had leased, but I was not

- involved in that. I knew about it, but I wasn't involved in
- 2 it, nor did I want to get involved.
- 3 Q Sir, let me refer you to page 41 of your
- 4 deposition at line 16. And you should feel free -- there is
- an extended answer here, but you should feel free to read as
- 6 much of it as you want to satisfy yourself. But I want to
- 7 refer you in particular to your language from line 16
- 8 through line 18, which reads, "My readiness to act was after
- 9 all the legal problems had been completed so they could
- 10 proceed with building the station."
- Do you see that language?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q And the legal problems you were referring to there
- were the legal problems you just described?
- 15 A I --
- 16 MS. POLIVY: I'm sorry, I don't -- could you
- 17 clarify the question because I don't know what -- legal
- 18 problems he described in the last answer or throughout his
- 19 testimony?
- MR. COLE: That he described in his last answer.
- THE WITNESS: The legal problems that I referred
- to were those that would preclude the beginning of the
- operation of the station. I only wanted to finance the
- station once they got proper authority to proceed.

1	BI MR. COLE:
2	Q You mentioned in your earlier response to a
3	dispute with a tower.
4	Do you recall mentioning that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Was it your understanding that that dispute
7	precluded Rainbow from building?
8	A No, I didn't get involved in I knew that there
9	was a tower dispute, but I did not get involved in the in
10	the conditions of that dispute.
11	Q But was that dispute strike that.
12	Was the resolution of that dispute in your mind
13	necessary for any agreement for you to finance Rainbow for
14	it to become operative?
15	A Not necessarily.
16	My willingness to finance the station was after
17	they received full permission to proceed to start the
18	station.
19	Q Mr. Conant, let me refer you to page 43 of the
20	testimony transcript, lines 2 to 11, and ask you to review
21	that and determine if that was your testimony.
22	MS. POLIVY: I'm sorry.
23	What lines?
24	MR. COLE: Page 43, lines 2 through 11.
25	"I recall that there was yes, there was a
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

- dispute with placing the antenna on a tower that they --
- they had reserved for their own station, yes."
- Question: "Can you tell me what Mr. Rey told you
- 4 about that?"
- Answer: "I don't remember. I can't remember the
- details because I wasn't going to proceed until that
- 7 disputed had gotten resolved, so it really wasn't a primary
- 8 kind of interest of mine."
- 9 BY MR. COLE:
- 10 Q Was that your testimony?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Is that accurate testimony?
- 13 A Yes, that testimony is what I said.
- 14 Q And continuing further on that page, sir, from
- your deposition in lines 12 through 19.
- 16 Question: "Did you tell Mr. Rey you weren't going
- to proceed until the dispute had been resolved?"
- Answer: "I didn't say that, but it was -- I think
- it was pretty well understood that -- that I wasn't going to
- 20 proceed till they got their construction permit, but I was
- 21 wishing, I certainly wished them well, but my course of
- 22 action wasn't to take place until the permit was issued."
- Is that your testimony as well?
- 24 A Yes.
  - Q And also, Mr. Conant, on page 44 and 45 of your

- deposition transcript, lines 22 to 24 and proceeding over 1 through line 5 on page 45. 2 Question: "Did he," meaning Mr. Rey, "advise you 3 that obviously he was making an effort to conclude the 4 preclude the arrival of Channel 18 in the Orlando market?" 5 Answer: "I don't remember the details of that. 6 He might have. Eighteen sounds familiar. But once again, I 7 8 didn't pay too much attention to the consultations that preceded my aspect of this deal. I wanted that to be 9 cleared up and then I would proceed." 10 11 Is that your testimony, sir? Α 12 Yes. 13 And page 54 of your deposition transcript, starting at line 9 and continuing through line 17. 14 15 Ouestion: "Between the late 1990 meeting between you and Mr. Rey, the wait and see meeting, and this December 16 of 1991 meeting, had you learned anything further about the 17 status of the lawsuit between RBC and its tower?" 18 19 "I didn't keep up with that so I can't 20 I don't know what I knew when. It wasn't of primary 21 interest to me. I was only interested in financing the 22 station after the garbage was cleaned up."
- Is that your testimony, sir?

  A Yes.

MS. POLIVY: Mr. Cole, if you are going to read

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

- that, I think you have to read the next question and answer
- that goes to the same thing, where he makes clear what he
- was talking about, which was your question was "Hauled
- away?" And the answer was, "Or enough to get a construction
- 5 permit" was the answer.
- 6 MR. COLE: Well, I expect you can ask him that on
- 7 redirect.

- MS. POLIVY: Your Honor, I would -- I would say
- 9 that if Mr. Cole is going to start reading through the
- deposition as part of his cross-examination he has an
- obligation not for us to wait until redirect rather than get
- 12 the --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: If it is something relevant to
- what the subject matter is, you can read it into the record,
- 15 Ms. Polivy.
- MS. POLIVY: Okay.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Read it in the record right now
- 18 if you want.
- MS. POLIVY: I just did, Your Honor.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Oh, all right.
- BY MR. COLE:
- Q Mr. Conant, in the paragraph on -- I'm sorry, page
- 23 1 of your declaration, the paragraph beginning, "Joe Rey
- 24 came to my office." And as I read this, sometime in the
- summer of 1991 you and Mr. Rey met in your office, and now I

- am going to guote from a sentence which appears about two-
- thirds of the way into that paragraph, "Joe indicated that
- 3 conditions in the Orlando television market had improved
- 4 economically, and because the market was to be metered by
- 5 the Nielson Company, an extremely important advantage for a
- 6 new independent television station."
- 7 Do you see that language?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q What is your best recollection of precisely when
- that meeting occurred, the summer of 1991 meeting?
- 11 A I don't remember any -- the precise date.
- 12 Q Can you give me a month?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q But it was definitely in the summer of 1991?
- 15 A I believe it was.
- Do you recall what Mr. Rey told you about the
- 17 prospects for Nielson metering in the Orlando market?
- 18 A Yes. He felt that when Nielson installed meters
- 19 it was favorable to an independent because the -- it would
- 20 prove a more accurate indication of audience than when
- 21 people merely fill out the written forms as to what stations
- they were listening to.
- Q Did Mr. Rey tell you when the meters were going to
- 24 be installed?
  - A I don't recall that he mentioned the precise date,

- but he did mention that it was in the plan for Nielson to
- 2 install meters.
- 3 Q Did he tell you how he knew that?
- 4 A I don't recall.
- 5 Q Sir, your declaration, page 1, the paragraph
- 6 beginning, "In late 1992."
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q You indicate in the second sentence that in late
- 9 1992 you agreed that they, meaning Rainbow Broadcasting
- 10 Company, would enlist limited partners to provide the
- 11 financing to put the station on the air.
- Do you see that?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Was it your understanding as of that meeting in
- late 1992 that no limited partners had yet been enlisted to
- 16 provide financing to RBC?
- 17 A I didn't know whether they had gotten any limited
- 18 partners or not.
- 19 Q But, in any event, you agreed, as I understand
- your declaration, particularly in the next to the last
- 21 paragraph on page 2, beginning "In 1991," in any event, you
- agreed to provide a form of bridge financing.
- 23 A Yes.
- Q So that, and correct me if I am wrong, am I
- correct that that bridge financing would provide that even

- if RBC ultimately relied on limited partnership funding,
- that you would -- I'm sorry. Take that back. Strike that
- 3 question. Withdraw that question.
- Now, your statement, I'm sorry, the paragraph in
- 5 page 2 of your declaration beginning, "In 1991, when Joe Rey
- told me, " as I understand the description of what I am
- 7 referring to now as your bridge financing understanding with
- 8 Mr. Rey, indicates -- your agreement with respect to such
- 9 financing was reached in 1991.
- 10 Am I understanding that paragraph correctly?
- 11 A Yes.

- 12 Q The description in that paragraph, that is, the
- meeting 1991 with Joseph Rey, there is a description of the
- terms of your willingness to provide that kind of bridge
- 15 financing to RBC reflect all the terms and contingencies
- that were associated with your willingness in that regard?
- 17 A The description in my declaration refers to the
- agreement that I had with Joe Rey to finance the station.
- 19 The bridge loan, if I was called upon to make it, would
- involve, yes, essentially the same terms, and then I would
- get a 10 percent equity in the company after all the limited
- 22 partners had completed their investments.
- 23 Q So is it correct to say that one way or another
- you were willing to provide the financing to RBC regardless
- of whether any limited partnership was formed? But if one

- was formed, you would be willing to get repaid and step
- 2 aside?

- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q During your testimony under direct examination
- from Mr. Eisen this afternoon you explained why you wanted
- 6 personal quarantees of Ms. Jaramillo and Mr. Rey.
- 7 Do you recall that testimony?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And as I -- I am not trying to mischaracterize it
- 10 but according to my notes your interest was assuring that
- 11 they were sincere and serious about the arrangement.
- 12 A That they had faith in the project, yes.
- Q Did you impress that upon Mr. Rey and Ms.
- Jaramillo that that was your understanding of why you were
- asking for their personal quarantees?
- I don't think I impressed it on them. I presume
- 17 that he would understand why I wanted that.
- 18 Q Did you ever personally ask Ms. Jaramillo for her
- 19 personal guarantee?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Did she ever personally give it to you?
- 22 A No. I just spoke with Joe Rey about that. He
- 23 said she was willing.
- 24 MR. COLE: I have no further questions, Your
  - Honor.

JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Mr. Silberman or Mr. 1 Block? 2 Mr. Block will cross-examine. MR. SILBERMAN: 3 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Mr. Block. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. BLOCK: 6 Good afternoon, Mr. Conant? 0 Good afternoon, Mr. Block. Α Nice to see you again. 9 Your testimony today was that the -- if I 10 understand you correctly -- that you were willing to put 11 your loan agreement into effect when Rainbow had complete 12 authorization to build the station; is that correct? 13 14 Α Right. Did there ever come a time when Mr. Rey told you 15 that Rainbow had complete authorization to build the 16 17 station? 18 Α Yes. 19 When was that? 0 20 I don't recall, but it was at a time when he had decided to -- not to utilize the money that I was going to 21 22 lend the station, but instead get equity investors. That would have been in 1993, probably? 23 24 I don't remember when it was. Α 25 It would have been after the tower litigation was 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

- 1 resolved?
- MS. POLIVY: I am going to object. You are not
- 3 refreshing the witness's memory. You are testifying. He
- 4 said he doesn't recall.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, he has a right to try to
- 6 refresh his recollection by referring to events. I will
- 7 overrule the objection.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
- 9 MR. BLOCK: May I approach the witness?
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
- MS. POLIVY: Mr. Block, what are you showing the
- 12 witness?
- MR. SILBERMAN: Exhibit 7 and 8 that has been
- 14 admitted into evidence.
- MS. POLIVY: Exhibit 7 --
- MR. EISEN: Page 2?
- MR. BLOCK: I am showing the witness page 2 of
- 18 Rainbow Exhibit No. 7, which is a letter from Joseph Rey to
- 19 Mr. Richard Edwards, and which has a date here of August 10,
- 20 1990, Mr. Conant.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. BLOCK:
- Q Would you read the first sentence into the record,
- 24 please?
  - MS. POLIVY: Well, Your Honor. I think he has to

- lay a foundation for this saying has the witness ever seen
- this letter, is he familiar with the letter? The letter is
- in the record. He doesn't need this witness to read it into
- 4 the record.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, there is no need for
- 6 the witness to read it.
- 7 MR. BLOCK: Read it to yourself then, Mr. Conant.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Just read it to yourself.
- 9 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 10 Q My focus is on the first sentence. You can read
- 11 the whole document though if you care to.
- 12 A You want me to read the first sentence, because I
- have never seen this letter before. I don't know anything
- 14 about this.
- In the time period around August 1990, Mr. Rey did
- 16 not come to you to tell you that in his opinion Rainbow had
- a clear path to construct the facility and was anxious to
- 18 proceed?
- 19 A I don't recall exactly when Joe may have spoken to
- 20 me. He spoke to me a number of times, and he was always
- 21 anxious to proceed to build the station. So there is
- nothing new here. He was eager to get the station on the
- 23 air.
- 24 Q Well, you were waiting for an event to occur to
- 25 have a clear path to construct; is that correct?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And sometime after August 1990, Mr. Rey came to
3	talk to you about the tower litigation; is that correct, in
4	the fall of 1990?
5	A I don't recall the time that I that Joe Rey may
6	have talked to me about tower litigation, but if he did talk
7	to me about tower litigation it was rather incidental. He
8	was if it caused a delay to the authorization to proceed
9	with the station, that was his intent.
10	Q Your statement then in the paragraph that begins,
11	"Joe Rey came
12	A Oh, can you tell me where
13	Q Well, counting from the
14	A Are you referring now to my declaration?
15	Q The first page of the declaration, the one, two,
16	three, four, fifth paragraph, "Joe Rey came to my office."
17	Do you see that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q " in late 1990, to discuss Rainbow's progress."
20	
21	That was the discussion about the problems he saw
22	in the late 1990 time period, correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And he mentioned the tower litigation as one of
25	the factors that led him to worry about the future of

1 Rainbow?

- 2 A Yes, that's right.
- 3 O And you said you wanted to take a "wait and see"
- 4 attitude; is that correct? That's the words you used later
- 5 on in the paragraph.
- A If I said it, it's correct, yes.
- 7 Q What did you mean by a "wait and see" attitude?
- 8 A Well, Joe, in the 1990 meeting, I think was a
- 9 little disappointed with the prospect of litigation. He
- 10 didn't like the idea of having a delay to the beginning of
- 11 the operation of the station.
- He also didn't like the idea that there might be
- 13 six stations instead of five. I felt that it was
- appropriate to wait and see what develops because I wasn't
- as negative as he was, and I thought that, number one, that
- the television broadcasting business probably would improve,
- and that having six stations in a given area is not as good
- as having five, but it didn't sound like it was such a
- 19 serious negative to me.
- 20 O How would six stations versus five stations have
- 21 affected the loan agreement that you had --
- 22 A It wouldn't have.
- Q Would it have made it less profitable, the station
- 24 less profitable?
  - 25 A Oh, I guess any time you get an additional

- competitor it becomes a little more of a strain to make as
- 2 much money. Ideally, it would be great if there were only
- one station in an area if you owned that station. But I
- didn't -- I wasn't too upset about that.
- 5 Q Your "wait and see" attitude was wait to see how
- 6 the litigation comes out?
- 7 A That and let's see what developments occur in
- 8 terms of broadcasting business itself, which is --
- 9 Q And until that occurred everything was going to be
- 10 put on hold; is that correct?
- MS. POLIVY: Objection, Your Honor. That wasn't
- 12 his testimony.
- MR. BLOCK: I'm asking a question.
- 14 THE WITNESS: No.
- MS. POLIVY: Okay, I will withdraw.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Overruled.
- 17 BY MR. BLOCK:
- 18 Q Were those your --
- 19 A No, I was -- I reiterate that I was always ready
- 20 to finance that statement when I was told that they had the
- 21 full authority to proceed to build it.
- 22 Q But until the litigation was resolved you and Joe
- agreed to put the loan on hold and wait and see what
- 24 happens?
  - 25 A If --

1	MS. POLIVY: Objection to the form of the
2	question. If by the litigation you mean the tower
3	litigation, I think you ought to say it.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I think the witness
5	understand what litigation we're talking about. Overruled.
6	Go ahead, you can answer.
7	THE WITNESS: If the litigation was such that it
8	impaired the award of the construction permit or the final
9	authority to build the station, yes. Then I reiterated my
10	position that I would finance that station, but once again
11	as I have said before, when the station was cleared to be
12	constructed legally.
13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But you make the statement in
15	your declaration, this is your words, "I was concerned about
16	the problems that he raised, and particularly about the
17	prospect of another market television station."
18	Now you are testifying that it was no concern of
19	yours.
20	How does that how can you reconcile that with
21	your statement in your declaration that it was serious
22	concern of yours?
23	THE WITNESS: No, I
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Isn't that what you said here, "I
25	was concerned" particularly about the prospect?

- 1 THE WITNESS: Where are you --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: I am reading from the fifth
- 3 paragraph.
- 4 THE WITNESS: On page 1?
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Page 1, yes. About the third or
- 6 fourth sentence down.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Oh, sure. Yeah, I was concerned
- 8 about the problems that Joe raised because Joe seemed -- Joe
- 9 was concerned, so I reflected his concern.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, it says, "I was concerned."
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 12 JUDGE CHACHKIN: It doesn't say because Joe raised
- 13 it.
- THE WITNESS: Well, he was my advisor, that's
- 15 correct, and I was concerned. But it -- but the fact that I
- was concerned did not mean that I thought it was a bad deal.
- I felt that it was negative to have an additional station in
- 18 the market.
- 19 JUDGE CHACHKIN: And when you said tell Joe that
- 20 I'm talking a wait and see attitude, wasn't that because you
- 21 were concerned about another television station in the
- 22 market, to see what would happen?
- THE WITNESS: Well, I was concerned but I guess it
- 24 depends upon what you read into the term "concern." I did
  - not think it was a major obstacle. It was a concern, but